NPDES Permit Rating Sheet AR0001210 NPDES No.: Regular Addition Georgia-Pacific - Crossett Facility Name: Discretionary Addition Crossett City: Score Change, but no status change Ouachita River Receiving water: Deletion Phone Number: Is this facility a stream electric power plant (SIC=4911) Is this Permit for a municipal separate storm sewer serving a with one or more of the following characteristics? population greater than 100,000? Yes - STOP HERE Score = 7001. Power output 500 MW or greater? (Not using a cooling pond/lake) No - Continue **x** 2. A nuclear power plant 3. Cooling water discharge greater than 25% of the Receiving stream's 7Q10 flow rate Score = 600Yes - STOP HERE No- Continue **x Factor 1: Toxic Pollutant Potential**

PCS SIC Code: Primary SIC Code: 2679 Other SIC Code: NAICS: (Code 000 if no subcategory) Industrial Subcategory Code:

Determine the Toxicity potential from Appendix A. Be sure to use the TOTAL toxicity potential column and check one.

Toxicity Group	Code I	Points	Toxicity Group	Code	Points	Toxicity Group		Code	Points	
NPWS*	0	0	3	3	15	7		7	35	
1 x	1	5	4	4	20	8		8	40	
2	2	10	5	5	25	9		9	45	
*No Process	Waste S	tream	6	6	30	10		10	50	
							(Code C	hecked:	
							F	oints F	actor 1:	

Factor 2: Flow/Stream Flow Volume (Complete either Section A or Section B; check only one)

Flow WW Type **Code Points** (MGD) Type I: < 5 11 0 10 5 to 10 12 13 20 >10 to 50 >50 14 30 Type II: <1 21 10 20 1 to 5 22 23 30 >5 to 10 24 >10 50 Type III: 31 0 <1 1 to 5 32 10 >5 to 10 33 20 >10 34

Section A-Wastewater Flow Only Considered

Section B-Wastewater and Stream Flow Considered

WW Type	% WW*	Code	Points	
Type I/III:	<10	41	0	
	≥ 10 to < 50	42	10	
	≥50	43	20	
Type II:	<10	51	0	
	≥ 10 to < 50	52	20	
	≥50	53	30	

Code Checked: 23 **Total Points Factor 2:**

NPDES No.: AR0001210

* % IWC at receiving stream low flow

	A. Oxygen	Demanding Pollutant: (CBOD X BOD COD						
	Permit Limi	its: (Check One) <100 lbs/day 100 to 1000 lbs/day >1000 to 3000 lbs/day >3000 lbs/day		1 2 3 4	0 5 15 20				
							e Checked: s Checked:	20	
B. Total Suspende	ed Solids (TSS	5)							
	Permit Limi	its: (Check One) <100 lbs/day 100 to 1000 lbs/day >1000 to 3000 lbs/day >3000 lbs/day		1 2 3 4	0 5 15 20				
							e Checked: s Checked:	<u>4</u> <u>20</u>	
C. Nitrogen Pollut	tant: (Check C	One) N/	A X		AM	IMONIA	OTHER		
	Permit Limi	its: (Check One) <300 lbs/day 300 to 1000 lbs/day >1000 to 3000 lbs/day >3000 lbs/day		1 2 3 4	0 5 15 20				
							e Checked: s Checked:	0	
						Total Point	s Factor 3:	40	
Footor 1. Dubl	lie Heelth I	mnact		-					

Factor 4: Public Health Impact

Is there a public drinking water supply located within 50 miles downstream of the effluent discharge (this includes any body of water to which the receiving water is a tributary)? A public drinking water supply may include filtration galleries, or other methods of conveyance that ultimately get water from the above referenced supply.

Yes (If yes, check toxicity potential number below)
No (If no, go to factor 5)

Determine the human health toxicity potential from Appendix A. Use the same SIC code and subcategory reference as in Factor 1. (Be sure to use the <u>human health</u> toxicity group column-check one below)

Toxicity Group		Code Po	ints	Toxicity Group	Code	Points	Toxicity Group	Code	Points
NPWS*		0	0	3	3	0	7	7	15
1	Х	1	0	4	4	0	8	8	20
2		2	0	5	5	5	9	9	25
*No Proce	ess \	Waste Str	eam	6	6	10	10	10	30

Code Checked: 1
Total Points Factor 4: 0

NPDES No.: AR0001210

Factor 5: Water Quality Factors

technology-based	technology-based Federal ELGs, or technology-based State ELGs), or has a WLA been assigned to the discharge?						
		Yes No	Code Points 1 10 2 0				
B. Is the receiving	water in comp	liance with applicable W	QSs for pollutant	s that are wa	ter quality-limited in	the permit?	
		Yes No	Code Points 1				
C. Does the efflu	ent discharged	from this facility exhibit:	reasonable potent	ial to violate	WQSs due to whole e	effluent toxicity?	
		Yes No	Code Points 1 10 2 0				
				Checked: A Checked: A		10	
				To	otal Points Factor 5:	20	
Factor 6: Prox	cimity to Ne	ar Coastal Waters		N/A	x		
A. Base Score:	-	de from Factor 2: 23	M	ultiplication	factor for flow code:	0.60	
	HPRI # 1 2 3 4 5	Code HPRI Score 1 20 2 0 3 30 4 0 5 20		Flow Code 11, 31, or 4 12, 32, or 4 13, 33, or 4 14 or 34 21 or 51 22 or 52 23 or 53 24	11 0 12 0.05	actor	
	Paga Saara	(HPRI Score) 0 x F	low Code Multip		Code Checked: or 0.60 = 0	Base Points	
	nts - NEP Prog	gram: For a facility that he Protection (NEP) program	as an HPRI code	of 3, does the	e facility discharge to		
	,	()1 2	Code Points		1		
		Yes No	1 10 2 0				
		INO	2 0	Addite	Code Checked: onal NEP Points:	<u>-</u> 0	
		es Area of Concern for a f nto one of the Great Lake				discharge	
		Yes No	Code Points 1 10 2 0				
				114:1 C	Code Checked: reat Lakes Points:	-	
			A		l Points Factor 6:	0	
				1000			
Score Summa	ry			N	NPDES No.: 0		
	Factor	Descript		Points			
	1	Toxic Pollutant		5			
	2	Flow/Stream Flo	ow Volume	30			

3	Conventional Pollutants	40
4	Public Health Impacts	0
5	Water Quality Factors	20
6	Proximity to Near Coastal Waters	0
	Total Points	95

Total Score

	Total Score is 80 or more - Fa	acility is a MAJOR facility
	Would you like this facility to be discre	etionary major?
Reason:		
New Score: Old Score:	95	
		Loretta Carstens, P.E.
		Permit Reviewer's Name
		(501) 682-0612
		Phone Number
		9/14/2023 Date